UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,893	08/05/2005	Frank Haneball	HANEBALL	6482
	44,893 08/05/2005 Frank Haneball 1 7590 09/17/2009 NRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC NRY M FEIEREISEN 5 THIRD AVENUE ITE 1501	EXAMINER		
HENRY M FEI	IEREISEN	JOHNSON, VICKY A		
SUITE 1501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, I	NEW YORK, NY 10017		3656	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@FEIEREISENLLC.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/544,893	HANEBALL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vicky A. Johnson	3656		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Acc 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 8, 11-16, 38, 45, and 46 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,11-16,38,45 and 46 is/are rejection objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/544,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 3656

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2, 4, 11, 12, 13, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the attachment part" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the attachment part" in lines 2 and 33. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the attachment part" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 is indefinite because it depends from the canceled claim 9.

Claim 38 recites the limitation "the attachment part" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3656

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 11-14, 38, 45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newport et al (US 5,809,833) in view of Franksson (US 6,772,653), as best understood.

Newport et al disclose an electromotive linear drive, comprising: a housing (11, 12), a d.c. motor (22) connected to the housing for operating a lifting tube which is operatively connected to the component, at least one motor casing (see Fig 4) disposed in surrounding relationship to the d.c. motor and having, and at least one attachment element (80) extending at a substantially right angle in relation to the motor casing (see Fig 3).

Newport et al do not disclose an internal thread for threaded engagement to the housing at a first cylindrical connection zone, and an external thread for threaded engagement to the housing at a second cylindrical connection zone.

Franksson teaches the use of using internal and external threads to connect the housing and the attachment element.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Newport et al to include internal and external threads to connect the housing and the attachment element, as taught by Franksson in order to reduce the number of parts.

Re claim 8, Franksson shows and renders obvious a seal (25).

Application/Control Number: 10/544,893 Page 4

Art Unit: 3656

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newport et al (US 5,809,833) and Franksson (US 6,772,653) in view of Mounier (FR 2632460).

Newport et al disclose a device as described above, but does not disclose the plug of the power feed cable for insertion in the socket receptacle is secured by a securing element.

Mounier teaches the use of a plug (15) of a power feed cable for insertion in a socket receptacle is secured by a securing element (14).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Newport et al to include a securing element as taught by Mounier in order to facilitate assembly.

Re claim 16, Mounier shows the securing element (14) is a cover cap placed from outside upon the plug and secured by resilient locking tongues.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,893 Page 5

Art Unit: 3656

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vicky A. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656